

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,558	02/24/2004		Ron Day	WRENCH EXTENSION	1818
7590 09/26/2005			EXAMINER		
DAVID G. H			THOMAS, DAVID B		
900 Washingto P.O. Box 1470		e	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Waco, TX 76703-1470				3723	
				DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	arphi						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/786,558	DAY, RON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David B. Thomas	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply-and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	aust 2005.						
· ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received.  have been received in Application  ity documents have been receive  (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/786,558

Art Unit: 3723

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites that the "handle end" of the planar faceplate member is attached to the "front end of the cylindrical handle", in clause 2, and further states a "means for attaching wrench handle to said substantially faceplate member." It is unclear as to what structural features the applicant is attempting to claim. If the applicant is attempting to state "means for attaching <u>a</u> wrench handle to the planar faceplate member", then the claim would be clear.
- 4. Claim 3 recites "a plurality of said first and second attachment members", however the examiner finds no support in the drawings or the specification for more than a <u>single</u> first 15 and a <u>single</u> second 16 attachment member.
- 5. Claim 4 is indefinite because the claim contains a sentence followed by a clause, the clause reciting "In the claims, please make the following amendments:" Claim 4 is also indefinite for lacking support for the "solid metal cusp that attaches to the top and bottom of said faceplate for the majority of the length of said faceplate."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

Application/Control Number: 10/786,558

Art Unit: 3723

be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 1-4, as well as understood, are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Williams (6,308,596), see in particular Figs. 5 and 6. The examiner notes that the handle 68 of Williams as illustrated in Fig. 4 qualifies as being "substantially cylindrical."

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the position that Williams, particularly taken as a whole, fully anticipates the pending application.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,558

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4497. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt